

System Implementation Partner (SIP) for Design, Development, Implementation, Rollout and Maintenance of electronic Government Procurement (e-GP) System in Sri Lanka

Second Addendum to the Procurement Document dated 04 Jun-2026

The following addendum is issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of the Invitation to Proposers (ITP) of the procurement document titled *System Implementation Partner (SIP) for Design, Development, Implementation, Rollout, and Maintenance of electronic Government Procurement (e-GP) System in Sri Lanka* with reference *LK-EGP SECRETARIAT -545908-GO-RFP*. The purchaser will soon publish its response to the clarifications submitted by the potential bidders and issue addenda to the procurement as required.

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
1	Section II, page number 53, ITP 39.9 (2): <i>Documentation reviews</i>	<p><i>Documentation reviews: The proposer will be required to make available at least the following documents for purposes of review by the Purchaser:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Contract documents for the previous assignments</i> <i>ii. Project Implementation Plans for previous assignments</i> <i>iii. Operational Acceptance Reports for previous assignments</i> <i>iv. Final Acceptance Reports for the previous assignments</i> <i>v. End of Project / Assignment Reports for previous assignments.</i> 	<p>ITP 39.9 (2) stands revised as follows: <i>The proposer is required to make available any documentary evidence about the previous assignments cited by the proposer, for the purposes of review by the Purchaser, such as:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Contract documents for the previous assignments</i> <i>ii. Project Implementation Plans for previous assignments</i> <i>iii. Operational Acceptance Reports for previous assignments</i> <i>iv. Final Acceptance Reports for the previous assignments</i> <i>v. End of Project / Assignment Reports for previous assignments.</i> <i>vi. Client issued experience certificate</i>
2	Section III, page 62, 2.4, Detailed Technical Evaluation Criteria, Serial number 1 <i>“Product Fitment”</i>	<p>Marks allocated: 50</p> <p><i>Compliance of the proposer’s e-GP product will be verified vis-à-vis the functional requirements specified in the procurement document. The weightage allocated for the e-GP system features, as defined in the “Annexure: Functional requirements” annexed with this procurement document, will be taken as input for rating the system compliance.</i></p> <p><i>This section will be graded in 0-4 scale and marks will be correspondingly allocated wherein:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• 0 = Fully inadequate</i> <i>• 1 = Less adequate</i> 	<p>Marks allocated: 50</p> <p><u>Functional compliance (35 marks):</u> <i>Compliance of the proposer’s e-GP product will be verified vis-à-vis the functional requirements specified in the procurement document. The weightage allocated for the e-GP system features, as defined in the “Annexure: Functional requirements” annexed with this procurement document, will be taken as input for rating the system compliance.</i></p> <p><i>This section will be graded in 0-4 scale and marks will be correspondingly allocated wherein:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• 0 = Fully inadequate</i>

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 = Somewhat adequate • 3 = Adequate • 4 = More than adequate <p>The actual marks will be assigned as defined in the notes section below.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = Less adequate • 2 = Somewhat adequate • 3 = Adequate • 4 = More than adequate <p>The actual marks will be assigned as defined in the notes section below.</p> <p><u>Third-party security audit of the e-GP system (15 marks)</u>: Count of installations where the product is certified as secure and reliable by a third-party security audit agency, vis-à-vis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Requirements derived from international application security audit standards such as ISO 27001 and Top 10 OWASP. b) Vulnerability Assessment and Penetration Testing (VAPT) c) Load and performance testing. <p>The number of installations audited and the scope of the audit will be considered when grading on a 0–4 scale wherein:</p> <p>0 = Fully inadequate 1 = Less adequate 2 = Somewhat adequate 3 = Adequate 4 = More than adequate</p>
4	Section III, page 62, 2.4, Detailed Technical Evaluation Criteria, Serial number 1 “Product Fitment”	-	The following text is added: <i>Proposers are advised to explain in writing, detailing compliance of their e-GP product to the functional requirements included in the first addendum to the procurement document. Grading will be done at the feature level (i.e., 35 features). Refer to the functional requirements annexure for feature-wise weightage allocation.</i>

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
5	Section II, ITP 7.4, page 47	<i>Those interested in participating should send an email stating their intention to participate to kavindu@egp.gov.lk, and a web link to the online pre-proposal meeting will be shared with the interested parties.</i>	<i>The email referred to in the text stands corrected as: Kavindu.p@egp.gov.lk</i>
6	Section VII, 1.5.2, "Security Requirements", 14, page 147		The following text is added: a) <i>The purchaser shall NOT pay for digital certificates generated by the PKI server installed by the proposer.</i> b) <i>The purchasers and suppliers using the e-GP system will buy nationally recognized CA certificates directly from the authorized channels.</i>
7	Section II, ITP 1.1	<i>The Purchaser is: Department of Public Finance, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development.</i>	The text stands revised as follows; <i>The Purchaser is: Director General, Department of Public Finance, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development.</i> <i>Purchaser Address: Department of Public Finance, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, Room Number 112, 1st Floor, The Secretariat, Colombo, Sri Lanka.</i>
8	Section VII, A. Implementation Schedule (p.169)	<i>The Purchaser may decide to extend the O&M period (with a post-warranty service contract), in which case the proposer shall maintain the software for an additional 3 years (i.e., a total of 7 years of maintenance).</i>	The text stands revised as follows; <i>The Purchaser may decide to extend the O&M period (with a post-warranty service contract), in which case the proposer shall maintain the software for an additional 3 years (i.e., a total of 6 years of maintenance).</i>
9	Section VII, 2.2.2 (a), page 156	<i>System must have an online context-sensitive help which is well organized, indexed, and searchable. Online help must be accessible from within the application in a selected language and downloadable as a '.pdf' file in all two languages.</i>	The text stands revised as follows; <i>System must have an online context-sensitive help which is well organized, indexed, and searchable. Online help must be accessible from within the application in English and downloadable as a '.pdf' file in English.</i>

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
10	Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 1.4, page 57	<i>Subcontracting of end-to-end implementation of the core solution is not allowed in this contract.</i>	The following text is added: <i>The proposer may subcontract non-core solutions (i.e., excluding e-GP software development and maintenance, related security and deployment configurations) such as:</i> a) <i>Cloud service</i> b) <i>Server management in the cloud set-up</i> c) <i>Training and</i> d) <i>Project management (i.e., excluding the project manager deployment on-site until Go-live).</i>
11	Section VII, “2.5 Data Conversion and Migration”	<i>The Proposer would be required to migrate data to the extent it is defined in the Functional Requirements Specification.</i>	The text stands revised as follows: <i>Data migration is not envisaged within this contract</i>
‘13	Section III, 1.4.2, “Specific Experience”	-	The following is an interpretation of the phrase “implemented” in the specific experience evaluation criteria. An e-GP installation that handled live e-Tender transactions during the last seven years will be considered as “implemented” in the context of the evaluation criterion 1.4.2 “specific experience”.
14	Section III, 1.4.2, “Specific Experience”	-	The following is an interpretation of the phrase “Government installation” in the specific experience evaluation criteria. An e-GP installation established by a government-owned or controlled entity to process e-Tenders will be considered as a government installation.
15	Proposal data sheet, ITP 22.1,	<i>Proposers must also submit an electronic copy with its “ORIGINAL PROPOSAL” on a memory stick (USB flash disk).</i>	The original text has been revised as follows: <i>Proposers must also submit an electronic copy of only their technical proposal on a memory stick (USB flash drive). This USB disk should be included within the “Technical part” of the “ORIGINAL PROPOSAL”. Proposers shall NOT include their financial proposal details in the USB flash disk.</i>
16	Section VII, 5.4, Software Change Control	<i>The Purchaser will accept a functional requirement as a change when there is no reference to the functional requirement in the</i>	The text stands revised as follows: <i>The Purchaser will accept a functional requirement as a change when there is no reference to the functional requirement in</i>

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
		<i>procurement document. Any changes in page layouts, minor modifications of reports included in scope and page navigational requirements will not be constituted as a change.</i>	<i>the procurement document. If a change sought – any time during the contract period - is detailed in the functional requirements, the provider must modify the software within the quoted bid price. Any changes in page layouts, minor modifications of reports included in scope, and page navigational requirements will not be considered as a change.</i>
17	Section VII, 1.2, Business Function Requirements to be met by the Information System	<i>The functional requirements are conceptually sub-divided into 35 system features, to be implemented in 2 phases: 1. Phase 1: 13 features 2. Phase 2: 22 features</i>	The text stands revised as follows: <i>The functional requirements are conceptually sub-divided into 35 system features, to be implemented in 2 phases: 1. Phase 1: 12 features 2. Phase 2: 23 features</i> Refer to the revised functional requirements included in the first amendment to the procurement document.
18	Section VII – Purchaser’s requirements: 1.5.2 Security requirements	-	The following text is added: <i>The purchaser will engage and pay the 3rd party audit agency for the IT security audit scope detailed in the procurement document. The Proposer shall make requisite changes to the software and associated processes to address the audit findings and ensure compliance as a part of the quoted bid price.</i>
19	Section VII, D.3.1 (xiv), page 162	<i>A dedicated account must be created in the cloud for the e-GP implementation of Sri Lanka. The Proposer shall provide administrator access of the e-GP specific cloud account to a resource designated by the Purchaser. This resource can view the Bill of Materials purchased from the cloud service provider and must have full rights to manage the resource allocation, deploy and manage the e-GP software application.</i>	The following text is added: <i>In addition, the proposer will buy the cloud subscription in the purchaser’s name. Super administrator access shall be provided to a government of Sri Lanka-designated representative.</i>
20	Section VII, 1.4.2 “Infrastructure, Deployment and License	-	The following text is added: <i>A SaaS / multi-tenant e-GP solution is not acceptable to the purchaser.</i>

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
	Requirements”, page 144		
21	Section IX, D. Intellectual Property		The following text is added: <i>The intellectual property rights of the pre-existing e-GP product will be retained by the proposer. The purchaser does not seek transfer of source code under this contract.</i>
22	Page 2, 6	<i>Inner envelopes of the envelope marked “ORIGINAL PROPOSAL” must also contain a soft version of the enclosed document.</i>	The quoted text has been revised as follows: <i>The inner envelope marked “TECHNICAL PART” must also contain a soft version of only the technical proposal.</i>
23	Section 9, “Functional Requirement Specification, External IT System Integration	-	The following text is added: <i>The readiness of the APIs for integration with external IT systems is unknown. The proposer will not be penalized for any delay in integration due to the external IT system owner's lack of readiness.</i>
24	Section VII, 3.08, Digital Signature, page 160, i) Signing function	-	The following text is added: <i>The system will recognize and read certificates issued by the PKI server and by the National CA (i.e., issued by sub-CAs such as Lanka Clear). The usage pattern of PKI server-generated certificates and Lanka clear-issued certificates will be finalized during the project implementation. The system must be capable of using a PKI server-generated key for bid encryption and National CA-issued certificates for digital signing.</i>
25	Section 9, “Functional Requirement Specification, External IT system Integration	-	The following requirement has been deleted: <i>The e-GP implementation agency shall account for the data retrieval using either batch uploads or web services from 5 additional external IT Systems. The requirements for these integrations will be similar to those of the Business registration integration. The e-GP implementation agency shall account for the cost of these integrations in its bid price.</i>

Second Addendum to the Procurement Document dated 04-June-2026

Item	Section of RFP	Original	Added/Modified
26	Section VII, 2.4, Training and Training materials	-	The following text is added: <i>The training will be provided in English.</i>
27	Section VII, 4.3.2 (viii), page 164	-	The following text is added: <i>The purchaser and proposer will mutually agree on the revised timeline if the purchaser seeks to implement one or more phase 2 features during the pilot phase.</i>
28	Section VII, paragraph 2.7.3 (p.158-159)	-	The following interpretation is added: A 'designated work area of expertise' means the specific professional domain in which a person is officially recognized as having specialized knowledge or skill."
29	Section II, page 46, ITP 7.1	<i>Requests for clarification should be received by the Purchaser no later than 18th May 2026</i>	the text stands revised as; <i>Requests for clarification should be received by the Purchaser no later than 8th June 2026</i>